STATE OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY DIVISION OF MORTGAGE LENDING

Before the Commissioner of the Division of Mortgage Lending

	-
In the Matter of:)
AIMEE HEIDE,) Case No. 2023-003
Mortgage Loan Originator License No. 55453,)
NMLS ID No. 145848,)
Respondent.)
	J

FINAL ORDER REVOKING LICENSURE AND

REQUIRING PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE FINES AND INVESTIGATIVE COSTS

Issued and Entered,
This 18th day of July, 2023,
By Cathy Sheehy,
Commissioner

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of the State of Nevada, Department of Business and Industry, Division of Mortgage Lending ("the Commissioner") having been statutorily charged with the responsibility and authority to administer and enforce Chapter 645B of the Nevada Revised Statutes, NRS 645B.010 et seq. ("the Statute"), and Chapter 645B of the Nevada Administrative Code, NAC 645B.001 et seq. ("the Regulation") (collectively, "the Act") governing the licensing and conduct of mortgage agents and/or mortgage loan originators and mortgage brokers and/or mortgage companies doing business in the State of Nevada; and,

WHEREAS, on May 10, 2023, the Commissioner issued to Aimee Heide ("RESPONDENT"), NOTICE OF INTENT TO ISSUE AND ENTER FINAL ORDER REVOKING MORTGAGE AGENT LICENSURE, IMPOSING ADMINISTRATIVE FINES AND REQUIRING PAYMENT OF INVESTIGATIVE COSTS AND NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING (the "Order") attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by this reference; and,

WHEREAS, the Order further assessed upon Respondent administrative fines in the amount of \$10,000.00; and,

111

27

6. The Commissioner specifically retains jurisdiction of the matter(s) contained herein to issue such further order or orders as she may deem just, necessary, or appropriate so as to assure compliance with the law and protect the interest of the public.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DIVISION OF MORTGAGE LENDING



EXHIBIT "1"

STATE OF NEVADA

DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY DIVISION OF MORTGAGE LENDING

Before the Commissioner of the Division of Mortgage Lending

In the Matter of:

AIMEE HEIDE,

Mortgage Agent License No. 55453,

NMLS ID No. 145848,

Respondent.

Case No. 2023-003

NOTICE OF INTENT TO ISSUE AND ENTER FINAL ORDER REVOKING MORTGAGE AGENT LICENSE, IMPOSING ADMINISTRATIVE FINES, AND REQUIRING PAYMENT OF INVESTIGATIVE COSTS AND

NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING

The Commissioner of the State of Nevada, Department of Business and Industry, Division of Mortgage Lending ("the Commissioner") is statutorily charged with the responsibility and authority to administer and enforce Chapter 645B of the Nevada Revised Statutes, NRS 645B.010 et. seq. ("NRS 645B" or "the Statute"), and Chapter 645B of the Nevada Administrative Code, NAC 645B.001 et seq. ("NAC 645B" or "the Regulation") (collectively, "the Act"), governing the licensing and conduct of mortgage brokers and/or mortgage companies and mortgage agents and/or mortgage loan originators in the state of Nevada; and,

The Commissioner is further granted general supervisory power, control, and administrative enforcement authority over all mortgage brokers and/or mortgage companies and mortgage agents and/or mortgage loan originators doing business in the state of Nevada pursuant to the Act; and,

The Commissioner has the specific authority and responsibility under NRS 645B to review and evaluate an applicant's qualifications and suitability for the issuance, renewal, or retention of a license as a mortgage agent and/or mortgage loan originator under the provisions of NRS 645B.410 and NRS 645B.430; and,

Pursuant to that statutory authority and responsibility vested in the Commissioner, and in accordance with provisions of NRS 645B and other applicable law, Notice is hereby provided to AIMEE HEIDE (hereinafter "RESPONDENT"), of facts or conduct which, if true, will result in the issuance of a final order against RESPONDENT revoking RESPONDENT'S Nevada mortgage agent and/or mortgage loan originator license, imposing an administrative fine in the total amount of \$10,000.00 and requiring payment of investigative costs in the amount of \$300.00. Notice is further provided to inform RESPONDENT that prior to the issuance and entry of a final order, RESPONDENT is entitled to an administrative hearing. If RESPONDENT desires an administrative hearing, RESPONDENT must timely file a written request for an administrative hearing in accordance with the instructions set forth in Section III of this Notice.

I. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. On or about February 18, 2016, RESPONDENT made application for a Nevada mortgage loan originator license (formerly known as a mortgage agent).
- 2. On or about April 4, 2016, RESONDENT was granted a Nevada mortgage loan originator license by the Commissioner (MLD License No. 55453 NMLS ID. No. 1456848) pursuant to the Act.
- 3. RESPONDENT was employed by various mortgage companies from April 4, 2016 through May 9, 2021. RESPONDENT was employed by Movement Mortgage, LLC ("Movement") from May 10, 2021, through September 16, 2021.

10

11

12

13 14

16 17

15

18

19 20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

https://mortgage.nationwidelicensingsystem.org/licensees/resources/LicenseeResources/NMLS%20Guidebook%20for%20L

icensees.pdf.

- 4. RESPONDENT'S Nevada mortgage loan originator license was most recently in an "Approved-Inactive" status effective on or about December 31, 2021, until it was terminated for failure to renew on or about January 1, 2022.
- 5. At all times relevant to this matter, RESPONDENT has either applied for a mortgage loan originator license under the Statute or held a mortgage loan originator license under the Statute and is therefore subject to the jurisdiction of the Commissioner.
- 6. A mortgage loan originator license issued under the Act expires annually on December 31st, unless properly renewed by the holder of the license in accordance with the requirements set forth in NRS 645B.430(1).
- 7. In addition to the conditions for licensure as provided in the Act, the Nationwide Multistate Licensing System and Registry ("NMLS" or "Registry"), as instructed in both its website and policy guidebooks, sets forth the requirements with which all applicants for initial licensure as a mortgage company or mortgage loan originator, as well as those seeking to renew existing licensure as a mortgage company or mortgage loan originator, must comply in their efforts to obtain or maintain such licensure.1 Regardless of what jurisdiction-specific requirements may be applicable for such purposes, among the universal obligations mandated by the NMLS, is that the applicant or licensee provide and keep current the individual's identifying and contact information, as well as update and correct any answers as may have changed since the time of prior submissions, including those made in response to specific NMLS disclosure questions related to finances and the existence of any judgments or liens which remain unsatisfied, civil litigation/judgments, or state or federal regulatory/administrative agency orders or decisions rendered against, or concerning the applicant/licensee. Indeed, upon submission of any information through the Registry, the NMLS requires that the

applicant attest under penalty of perjury, that the information and statements contained therein, including any other information filed contemporaneously therewith, are true, accurate, and complete, and that the extent to which any information previously submitted is not amended, such information remains accurate and complete. Finally, when completing renewal of his or her own existing license, or as submitted on his or her behalf by that person's employer or sponsoring company, the renewing individual remains responsible for reviewing and confirming that all information contained in the person's online NMLS records are true and accurate when he or she performs the required attestation to the truth and accuracy thereof.

8. At the time of initial licensure as a mortgage loan originator, and at all times thereafter, NRS 645B.430(1) requires the holder of an existing license to continue to satisfy the criteria of NRS 645B.410(3), which provides in pertinent part the following:

Except as otherwise provided by law, the Commissioner shall issue a license as a mortgage loan originator to an applicant if:

- (a) The application is verified by the Commissioner and complies with the applicable requirements of this chapter, other applicable law and, if applicable, the Registry; and
- (b) The applicant:

* * *

- (2) Has never had a license or registration as a mortgage agent mortgage banker, mortgage broker, mortgage company, mortgage loan originator or residential mortgage loan originator revoked in this State or any other jurisdiction, or had a financial services license revoked within the immediately preceding 10 years;
- (3) Has not made a false statement of material fact on his or her application;
- (4) Has not violated any provision of this chapter or chapter 645E of NRS, a regulation adopted pursuant thereto or an order of the Commissioner; and
- (5) Has demonstrated financial responsibility, character and general fitness so as to command the confidence of the community and warrant a determination that

the applicant will operate honestly, fairly and efficiently for the purposes of this chapter.

* * *

[Emphasis added.]

9. The Statute further grants the Commissioner authority to impose an administrative fine or other discipline against an applicant for a license issued pursuant to the Act, for violation(s) of the Act, and/or against a licensed mortgage loan originator, who violates the Act. In pertinent part, NRS 645B.670(1)(a) specifically states that:

For each violation committed by an applicant for a license issued pursuant to this chapter, whether or not the applicant is issued a license, the Commissioner may impose upon the applicant an administrative fine of not more than \$25,000 if the applicant:

- (1) Has knowingly made or caused to be made to the Commissioner any false representation of material fact;
- (2) Has suppressed or withheld from the Commissioner any information which the applicant possesses and which, if submitted by the applicant, would have rendered the applicant ineligible to be licensed pursuant to the provisions of this chapter; or
- (3) Has violated any provision of this chapter, a regulation adopted pursuant to this chapter or an order of the Commissioner in completing and filing his or her application for a license or during the course of the investigation of his or her application for a license.

[Emphasis added.]

10. NRS 645B.670(1)(c) specifically provides that for each violation committed by a [licensed] mortgage loan originator, the Commissioner may impose upon the mortgage loan originator an administrative fine of not more than \$25,000.00, may suspend, revoke or place conditions upon the mortgage loan originator's license, or may do both, if the mortgage loan originator, whether or not acting as such:

1 2

(1) Is grossly negligent or incompetent in performing any act for which the mortgage loan originator is required to be licensed pursuant to the provisions of this chapter;

* * *

(2) Has made a material misrepresentation in connection with any transaction governed by this chapter;

* * *

(8) Has engaged in any other conduct constituting a deceitful, fraudulent, or dishonest business practice;

* * *

(10) Has repeatedly violated the policies and procedures of the mortgage company with whom the mortgage loan originator is associated or by whom he or she is employed:

* * *

(12) Has violated any provision of this chapter, a regulation adopted pursuant to this chapter or an order of the Commissioner or has assisted or offered to assist another person to commit such a violation.

* * *

- 11. For the purposes of NRS 645B.670, NAC 645B.505(3)(d)(2) expresses that a mortgage agent commits a violation if the mortgage agent "[h]as a license or registrations as a mortgage agent . . . or residential mortgage loan originator revoked in this State, or any other jurisdiction, or has a financial services license suspended or revoked." (Emphasis added.)
- 12. A "[f]inancial services license or registration" is defined by NRS 645B.0119 to mean "any license or registration issued in this State or any other state, district or territory of the United States that authorizes the person who holds the license or registration to engage in any business or activity described in the provisions of this chapter, title 55 or 56 of NRS or chapter 604A, 645, 645A, 645C, 645E, 645G or 649 of NRS." (Emphasis added.)

- 13. Pursuant to NRS 645B.740, the expiration or revocation of a license of a mortgage company or mortgage loan originator by operation of law or by order or decision of the Commissioner or a court of competent jurisdiction, or the voluntary surrender of a license, does *not*:
 - (1) Prohibit the Commissioner from initiating or continuing an investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the mortgage company or mortgage loan originator as authorized pursuant to the provisions of the Act; or
 - (2) Prevent the imposition or collection of any fine or penalty authorized pursuant to the provisions of the Act against the mortgage company or mortgage loan originator.
- 14. NAC 645B.505(3) further provides that for purposes of NRS 645B.670, a mortgage agent commits a violation of the mortgage agent:
 - (d) Does any of the following:

* * *

(5) Demonstrates a lack of financial responsibility, character and general fitness so as to lose the confidence of the community and warrant a determination that the mortgage agent will not operate honestly, fairly and efficiently for the purposes of chapter 645B of NRS.

* * *

- 15. On or about September 16, 2021, the Division received a complaint against RESPONDENT alleging that RESPONDENT had engaged in or was engaging in activity and conduct that violated provisions of the Statute or the Regulation. Based upon receipt of the foregoing information, the Division commenced an investigation pursuant to NRS 645B.060(2)(c) concerning RESPONDENT'S conduct in relationship to her responsibilities under the Act.
- 16. The Complainant, Bret Wulf ("Wulf") filed a complaint against RESPONDENT and Movement alleging that RESPONDENT misrepresented and

9

13

14

12

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

26

25

27 28 fraudulently altered his loan approval to show that he qualified for a higher loan amount. Wulf also claims that RESPONDENT made false assurances that the loan would close, and that if it did not, she would cover his financial losses.

- 17. On or about September 8, 2021, RESPONDENT originated a mortgage loan for Wulf through her employer Movement, with a loan amount of \$337,250.00. However, RESPONDENT sent correspondence to Wulf for a loan amount of \$403,750.00. Wulf accepted the loan terms for \$403,750.00 and proceeded to sign the Mortgage Loan Offer and Approval ("Loan Offer") to purchase a home located at 10304 Gibson Isle, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89166.
- 18. On or about September 15, 2021, Erin Freemal ("Freemal") who is the Area Market Leader for Movement and RESPONDENT'S supervisor notified Movement's Legal and Solutions Team, about possible fraud concerning Wulf's loan application. Movement's BSA/AML Fraud Team ("Fraud Team") immediately investigated her concerns. The Fraud Team concluded that the loan amount on the Loan Offer provided by RESPONDENT to Wulf had been altered. The font style and size were different for the loan amount. On the original loan offer letter, the loan amount Wulf was approved for was \$337,250.00. On the altered loan approval letter, the amount was \$403,750.00. In addition to the font style and size being different, the version of the letter containing the higher approval amount did not have the cents after the dollar amount, which is customary, when the loan offers are generated from the Movement's platform, PCL.
- On or about September 16, 2021, RESPONDENT admitted to her employer, 19. Movement that she altered the loan approval letter. RESPONDENT stated she was "in a bad way and that she was trying anything she could do to save" Wulf's loan. Her admission resulted in her termination from her employment with Movement. She did not report this discharge/termination from Movement in NMLS.
- On or about September 20, 2021, Movement reached out to Wulf to discuss 20. what occurred with his loan and offered to reimburse his earnest money deposit and costs associated with his loan application.

- 21. On or about September 23, 2021, Movement and Wulf entered into a Settlement Agreement arising from the complaint filed by Wulf. Wulf agreed to settle their dispute for \$4,475.00. Movement sent check # 264683 in the amount of \$4,475.00 to Wulf on September 24, 2021.
- 22. On or about May 4, 2022, Chief Investigator Jessica Monsour ("JM") sent email correspondence to Wulf inquiring about his complaint. JM requested Wulf inform her if Movement has taken any action to resolve his issues and the address of the property he intended to purchase.
- 23. On or about May 9, 2022, the Division sent correspondence to Movement requesting the following information regarding Wulf's complaint: (1) the complete loan file,(2) credit denial letter, (3) all correspondence between Respondent or any other employee of Movement and Wulf, (4) a written statement from RESPONDENT detailing specific items to be addressed in her statement, and (5) a written response from Movement regarding issues raised in the complaint. Movement was given a deadline of May 26, 2022, to respond to the Division.
- 24. On or about May 10, 2022, Wulf responded to the Division's correspondence and acknowledged that Movement had reached out to him to try and resolve his issues. He mentioned that they repaid him "some" of the money he was out, but not all. He also informed JM that the address of the property he was intending to purchase was 10304 Gibson Isle, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89166.
- 25. On or about May 12, 2022, JM sent correspondence to Wulf requesting a breakdown of his expenses on this loan: cost of appraisal, cost of inspections, earnest money deposited, and the amount of money Movement reimbursed to him.
- 26. On or about May 24, 2022, Wulf sent correspondence to JM with the breakdown of the money refunded by Movement which was \$4,000.00 for the earnest money deposit and \$475.00 for the appraisal. However, Wulf stated that he was not reimbursed by Movement for the \$200.00 he spent on the inspection.

JM received a response from Movement that indicated that they feel they resolved this issue by (1) refunding Wulf his payments for his earnest money deposited, appraisals, inspections, etc. in the amount of \$4,475.00, (2) they terminated RESPONDENT from employment immediately once the issue was brought to their attention, and (3) they updated their internal systems with mechanisms to prevent this from happening in future transactions.

- 27. On or about June 13, 2022, the Division sent correspondence to RESPONDENT requesting she provide information regarding the complaint filed by Wulf. Her response was to include (1) a copy of his loan file in her possession, (2) correspondence between herself, any other employee of Movement and Wulf, and (3) a written statement. The written statement was to include: (1) what happened on this loan, (2) a timeline for all the steps in the loan process from application to denial, (3) an explanation of why Wulf was denied the loan, (4) an explanation of any earnest money deposits or any other money that was reimbursed to Wulf concerning this transaction, (5) a statement regarding Wulf's allegations in his complaint, and (6) why Wulf was provided any type of assurance that his loan would close. RESPONDENT was given until June 23, 2022, to respond to the Divisions' request.
- 28. On July 7, 2022, the Division sent RESPONDENT correspondence again requesting a response to their letter dated June 13, 2022. RESPONDENT was given a new deadline of July 15, 2022, to respond.
- 29. On July 27, 2022, RESPONDENT responded via email correspondence to the Division's correspondence. She apologized for not responding sooner stating that she had been ill, and the email correspondence did not come to her main inbox. RESPONDENT stated she spoke to Wulf and that he informed her that he felt pressured to file a complaint against her. RESPONDENT claims that she had a miscommunication with Wulf, and he stated that he withdrew his complaint. She went on to explain that throughout the loan process he demanded she do anything necessary to get him the loan

program he wanted. She had a personal relationship with Wulf, so she did her best to find a way to get what he wanted.

- 30. On or about August 1, 2022, the Division sent correspondence to RESPONDENT requesting information regarding (1) who pressured Wulf to file the complaint, (2) what date did Wulf withdraw his complaint, (3) describe her relationship with Wulf during the loan process until August 1, 2022, and (4) describe the actions she took to get Wulf approved for a loan.
- 31. RESPONDENT responded to the Division's correspondence on August 1, 2022. RESPONDENT stated that in a conversation she had with Wulf on November 13, 2021, he informed her that Freemal pressured him to file a complaint against her in order for Movement to be willing to reimburse Wulf for his expenses. RESPONDENT stated that Wulf informed her twice that he withdrew his complaint, the first time was when they were talking on November 13, 2021, and the second time was when they talked in June 2022. RESPONDENT describes her relationship with Wulf as a personal friendship. RESPONDENT described her actions with Wulf's loan as her working on trying to get the underwriting approval for the loan that Wulf wanted.
- 32. On or about August 18, 2022, the Division sent correspondence to RESPONDENT asking (1) if RESPONDENT resigned or was terminated from Movement and what reason was given for termination, (2) was a proper loan denial provided to Wulf, and (3) is she currently working as a mortgage loan originator. RESPONDENT was given a deadline of August 25, 2022, to respond.
- 33. On or about September 12, 2022, the Division sent correspondence to Movement asking for (1) a statement from RESPONDENT admitting to altering the Loan Offer, (2) documentation regarding the termination of RESPONDENT, and (3) a copy of the credit denial/withdrawal form sent to Wulf after Wulf requested a withdrawal of the loan application.
- 34. On or about September 15, 2022, Movement provided the Division with a statement from Freemal dated September 15, 2022, regarding RESPONDENT'S meeting

on September 16, 2021, to discuss Wulf's Loan Offer. At that meeting Freemal remembered that RESPONDENT admitted to altering the Loan Offer, and that the reason given by RESPONDENT for doing that was because RESPONDENT stated that she was "in a bad place" and that she was trying to do anything she could to save Wulf's loan. Movement also provided proof of RESPONDENT'S termination paperwork as well as the Certification for Applicant Withdrawal dated September 7, 2021, for Wulf.

- The Division sent correspondence to RESPONDENT on September 15, 2022, 35. requesting she provide clarification about the statement made by Movement that possible fraud had occurred with the loan application for Wulf, the loan amount offered to Wulf had been altered, there was never an approval of a loan for \$403,750.00 and that RESPONDENT was terminated for cause. RESPONDENT was given a deadline of September 22, 2022, to respond.
- RESPONDENT did not respond by September 22, 2022, to the Division's 36. correspondence. RESPONDENT has not requested a renewal of her license for 2022.
- According to NMLS records the RESPONDENT is no longer sponsored or 37. employed by a licensed mortgage company. Since RESPONDENT first became licensed in Nevada, she was not licensed in any other States, when this action happened. Additionally, there are no Regulatory Actions or Testing and Education Investigations involving RESPONDENT uploaded to NMLS. Nor are there any noted violations in the Division's database for RESPONDENT.
- As a result of the Division's investigation in this matter, the following 38. violations are alleged:

111 23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

111 24

25 ///

26

///

27 111

COUNT I

Gross Negligence or Incompetence by Respondent

- 39. The Division re-alleges and incorporates all foregoing facts and allegations as though fully set forth herein.
- 40. A mortgage loan originator owes a duty of care when providing loan approval letters to a borrower. RESPONDENT owed a duty of care to Wulf when she submitted the Loan Offer. RESPONDENT breached her duty to Movement and to Wulf by submitting to Wulf the altered Loan Offer on September 8, 2021.
- 41. RESPONDENT deliberately created the Loan Offer by altering the approval letter and the loan approval amounts, that Wulf was not qualified for. RESPONDENT then submitted the Loan Offer to Wulf for use in the purchase of the real property located at 10304 Gibson Isle, Las Vegas, Nevada 89166.
- 42. RESPONDENT'S actions show extreme carelessness by not getting the proper underwriting approval of the loan before submitting the Loan Offer to Wulf.
- 43. RESPONDENT actions as stated above were grossly negligent or incompetent in adhering to State and Federal law as well as the policy and procedures of Movement when she created and submitted the falsely made, counterfeited, or forged Loan Offer to Wulf in violation of NRS 645B.670(1)(c)(1).

COUNT II

Material Misrepresentation of Facts by Respondent

- 44. The Division re-alleges and incorporates all foregoing facts and allegations as though fully set forth herein.
- 45. RESPONDENT created a Loan Offer which changed the loan amount that was approved for \$337,250.00 to the altered Loan Offer of \$403,750.00. The font style and size were different for the altered Loan Offer than on the actual approved loan offer letter with the loan amount of \$337,250.00. Additionally, the altered Loan Offer with the loan amount of \$403,750.00, the cents after the dollar amount, was missing.

- 46. RESPONDENT nevertheless intentionally prepared and passed, or intentionally attempted to pass, as true and genuine, for express purpose of facilitating and obtaining the approval necessary to obtain Wulf's loan, the false, altered, or forged information depicted upon the Loan Offer described above, knowing such communications to be false. RESPONDENT made these false representations or misrepresentations with the knowledge or belief that the attributed source of the conveyed information was false, with the intent to induce Movement to act or rely upon those false representation or misrepresentations, when making a favorable loan determination for Wulf.
- 47. RESPONDENT submitted this Loan Offer with the express purpose of attributing authorship thereof to Movement's system, information which she knew to be material to Wulf's decision to accept the Loan Offer. RESPONDENT intended to induce Wulf to act and rely upon the submitted fictitious and forged Loan Offer to Wulf as if the Loan Offer was genuine.
- 48. RESPONDENT'S failure to submit truthful, accurate, and complete information in the Loan Offer, constitute the making of false statements, misrepresentations, or omissions of material fact concerning Wulf's loan application in violation of NRS 645B.670(1)(c)(2).

COUNT III

Deceitful, fraudulent, or dishonest business practices by Respondent

- 49. The Division re-alleges and incorporates all foregoing facts and allegations as though fully set forth therein.
- 50. RESPONDENT engaged in conduct constituting a deceitful, fraudulent, and dishonest business practice when she prepared and altered the Loan Offer, then submitted the Loan Offer to Wulf as stated above.
- 51. When confronted with her deceitful, fraudulent, and dishonest action, RESPONDENT tried to avoid the ramifications of her actions by trying to convince the

Division that Movement pressured Wulf to file a complaint and that the issue was a miscommunication which has been resolved.

52. RESPONDENT engaged in deceitful, fraudulent, and dishonest practices by doing the following: (1) preparing a fictitious Loan Offer, (2) altering the loan amount on the Loan Offer, and (3) submitting the Loan Offer; all of these actions are a clear violation of NRS 645B.670(1)(c)(8).

COUNT IV

Violation of any provision of NRS 645B by Respondent

- 53. The Division re-alleges and incorporates all foregoing facts and allegations as though fully set forth therein.
- 54. RESPONDENT violated several provisions of NRS 645B as stated above in Counts I through III by committing forgery when she altered the loan amount to a higher loan amount then what Movement's system approved as the loan amount on the Loan Offer, fraud when she prepared and submitted the Loan Offer to Wulf as a genuine.
- 55. RESPONDENT'S actions caused Wulf financial losses and exposed Movement to potential legal ramifications.
- 56. RESPONDENT'S failure to exercise the reasonable skill, care and diligence that a reasonably prudent mortgage loan originator in similar circumstances would have undertaken to properly document and verify the accuracy of the Loan Offer, and misrepresentation made in the Loan Offer violates NRS.670(1)(c)(12), and subjects RESPONDENT to all administrative penalties available under the Statutes and the Regulations.

<u>COUNT V</u>

Failure by Respondent to Command the Confidence of the Community and Warrant a Determination of Honest, Fair, and Efficient Dealings

- 57. The Division re-alleges and incorporates all foregoing facts and allegations as though fully set forth therein.
- 58. At the time of *initial licensure* and at all times *thereafter*, a mortgage loan originator is required to conduct himself/herself and handle his/her business affairs in a

manner that continuously demonstrates the character and general fitness so as to command the confidence of the community and warrant a determination that he/she will operate honestly, fairly, and efficiently under the Act. See NRS 645B.430(1) and NRS 645B.410(3)(b)(5).

- 59. For the purposes of NRS 645B.670, NAC 645B.505(3)(d)(5) provides that a mortgage agent commits a violation if the mortgage agent demonstrates a lack of financial responsibility, character, and general fitness so as to lose the confidence of the community and warrant a determination that the mortgage agent will not operate honestly, fairly and efficiently for purposes of the Statute.
- 60. REPONDENT'S conduct as alleged in the foregoing, and as specifically set forth in Counts I through IV, above, fails to meet the above-described required standards of a mortgage agent or mortgage loan originator.
- 61. RESPONDENT'S failure to conduct herself and handle her business and personal affairs in a manner that commands the confidence of the community and warrant the belief that she will operate honestly, fairly, and efficiently under the Act. Such conduct as an applicant for a Nevada mortgage loan originator license, pursuant to NRS 645B.410(3)(b)(5), as well as by a licensed mortgage agent, pursuant to NAC 645B.505(3)(d)(5), constitute violations of NRS 645B.410(3)(b)(5) and NAC 645B.505(3)(d)(5), thereby subjecting RESPONDENT to all administrative penalties available under the Act. As authorized by NRS 645B.670(1)(a)(3), NRS 645B.670(1)(c)(12), and NAC 645B.505(3)(d)(5), based upon RESPONDENT'S conduct as illustrated in Counts I through IV, above, the Division specifically imposes upon RESPONDENT, administrative fines listed below for RESPONDENT'S failures to command the confidence of the community and warrant the belief that he will operate honestly, fairly, and efficiently under the Act.

26 ||///

27 ||///

28 ||///

$\frac{\text{NOTICE OF INTENT TO ISSUE AND ENTER FINAL ORDER}{\text{REVOKING MORTGAGE AGENT LICENSE, IMPOSING ADMINISTRATIVE FINES}}{\text{AND}}$

REQUIRING PAYMENT OF INVESTIGATIVE COSTS

Based upon the factual allegations set forth in Section I, above, RESPONDENT is hereby given notice that it is the intent of the Commissioner to issue and enter a final order against RESPONDENT to impose as follows:

- A. Revocation of RESPONDENT's mortgage agent license;
- B. An administrative fine against RESPONDENT in the amount of \$10,000.00 for violations of the Act as described in Counts I, II, III, IV, and V, above; and
- C. Investigative costs against RESPONDENT in the total amount of \$300.00.

Prior to the issuance and entry of a final order, RESPONDENT is entitled to an opportunity for administrative hearing to contest this matter if RESPONDENT timely makes written application for such hearing in accordance with the instructions set forth in Section III below.²

//

///

² Unless Respondent timely requests an administrative hearing, the amounts set forth herein as the intended fines, costs, and the other discipline set forth herein shall become the discipline *imposed* by the Commissioner against Respondent in a final order to be issued. Any negotiations or other discussions between the Division (directly or through its legal counsel) and Respondent regarding the matter shall *not* extend the time period in which

Respondent must make the request to preserve the right to hearing.

III. NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

The following provisions of the Nevada Revised Statutes and Nevada Administrative Code are relevant to the right to hearing in this matter:

NRS 645B.750 Duty of Commissioner to provide written notice of disciplinary action or denial of license; right to administrative hearing; entry of final order; appeals.

- 1. If the Commissioner enters an order taking any disciplinary action against a person or denying a person's application for a license, the Commissioner shall cause a written notice of the order to be served personally or sent by certified mail or telegram to the person.
- 2. Unless a hearing has already been conducted concerning the matter, the person, upon application, is entitled to a hearing. If the person does not make such an application within 20 days after the date of the initial order, the Commissioner shall enter a final order concerning the matter.
- 3. A person may appeal a final order of the Commissioner in accordance with the provisions of chapter 233B of NRS that apply to a contested case.

NRS 233B.121 Notice of hearing in contested case; contents of notice; representation by counsel; opportunity to respond and present evidence and argument; fees and mileage for witnesses; informal disposition; voluntary surrender of license in contested case deemed disciplinary action; contents of record; transcriptions; findings of fact.

- 1. In a contested case, all parties must be afforded an opportunity for hearing after reasonable notice.
- 2. The notice must include:
 - (a) A statement of the time, place and nature of the hearing.
 - (b) A statement of the legal authority and jurisdiction under which the hearing is to be held.
 - (c) A reference to the particular sections of the statutes and regulations involved.

- (d) A short and plain statement of the matters asserted. If the agency or other party is unable to state the matters in detail at the time the notice is served, the initial notice may be limited to a statement of the issues involved. Thereafter, upon application, a more definite and detailed statement must be furnished.
- 3. Any party is entitled to be represented by counsel.
- 4. Opportunity must be afforded all parties to respond and present evidence and argument on all issues involved. An agency may by regulation authorize the payment of fees and reimbursement for mileage to witnesses in the same amounts and under the same conditions as for witnesses in the courts of this state.
- 5. Unless precluded by law, informal disposition may be made of any contested case by stipulation, agreed settlement, consent order or default. If an informal disposition is made, the parties may waive the requirement for findings of fact and conclusions of law.
- 6. The voluntary surrender of a license in a contested case shall be deemed to constitute disciplinary action against the licensee.
- 7. The record in a contested case must include:
 - (a) All pleadings, motions and intermediate rulings.
 - (b) Evidence received or considered.
 - (c) A statement of matters officially noticed.
 - (d) Questions and offers of proof and objections, and rulings thereon.
 - (e) Proposed findings and exceptions.
 - (f) Any decision, opinion or report by the hearing officer presiding at the hearing.
- 8. Oral proceedings, or any part thereof, must be transcribed on request of any party. The party making the request shall pay all the costs for the transcription.
- 9. Findings of fact must be based exclusively on a preponderance of the evidence and on matters officially noticed.

NRS 233B.032 "Contested case" defined.

"Contested case" means a proceeding, including but not restricted to rate making and licensing, in which the legal rights, duties or privileges of a party are required by law to be determined by an agency after an opportunity for hearing, or in which an administrative penalty may be imposed.

If you wish to exercise your right to an opportunity for administrative hearing, within 20 calendar days after receiving this Notice, you must file a verified petition with the Commissioner to request a hearing. The verified petition must be delivered to:

Division of Mortgage Lending

Attn. Jennifer Hill

3300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite #285

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

If you fail to timely file a verified petition to request a hearing, your right to a hearing to contest this matter will be deemed waived and relinquished and a final order will be issued and entered in this matter.

DIVISION OF MORTGAGE LENDING

